

**MAJORITY MINORITY SUBCOMMITTEE
MEETING**
July 2, 2022

In Attendance

Commissioners	Intern	Vendors
Campbell, Marie Allen, Chair-P	Ramdani, Nassim-P	Mathur, Priti (ARCBridge)-P
Bulluck, Dona S.-P		Mathur, Sam (ARCBridge)-P
Graves, Constance -P		Wice, Prof. Jeffrey- A
Kissee, Adrienne-P		Zanfardino, Marissa-A
Mauldin, Larry-P		
Mazza, Dominic-P		
Owens-Cody, Keshana- P		

Agenda Items

I. Call to Order & Roll Call

- Meeting was called to order by Commissioner Bulluck at 9:00am, followed by Roll Call.

II. Approve Meeting Minutes

•

III. Legal Discussion/Update

•

IV. Mapping Discussion/Update

- During the June 25th meeting, Dr. Lisa Handley was asked if she would conduct an effectiveness analysis on three additional map submissions, identified as Dahl 5-30, Dahl 6-14, and G. Pope. Dr. Handley graciously agreed to do so. She utilized the same methodology use to analyze the previous submissions and is sharing her findings with us today.
- We now have two maps that are as good as Plan C. They are the Dahl 5-30 submission and the Gwen Pope submission. All three plans offer three districts offer 5 likely to elect and 2 possible to elect.
- Counted up the likely to be elected and less likely to be elected. To be labeled as a strong district, the district had to be able to elect both candidates. To be labeled weak, the district had to do worse than any of the current districts that were able to elect, i.e., no wins and an average of less than 0.4. She also produced an “average score” which represents the percentage of the vote that the Black preferred candidate would get in each district and then the average of those seven scores. Do not compare the average score without first determining the number of likely to elects. Do not use average unless you have an equivalent number of districts.
- Plan C, Dahl 5/30, and the Gwen Pope plans are the most effective plans and meet legal requirements. Professor Wice asked ARCBridge to compare these three maps using population deviation, compactness, community of interest and minority electability. Chair Campbell asked that Plan A be included as well. These plans will be responsive to public comment. Menands, a village, may be split because it makes up more than 40% of a single district.
- Also, ARCBridge was asked to determine there were any minority community members who may lose representation based upon these submissions.

V. Public Hearings and Community Engagement Forums

- Chair Campbell asked that the MMD survey be sent to the County Legislators to share with their constituents. There was a slight uptick in responses.
- Commissioner Owens-Cody submitted a link that will allow us to see the survey results in real time. Brainstormed other methods of getting the survey out to MMD residents.

VI. Open Action Items

- Continue to work on final report:

- Introduction & background okay
- Need community engagement-Dona; Adrienne has provided content
- Mapping-ARCBridge will provide content
- Voting Analysis-Wice & Handley
- Community Needs-from survey, Keshana
- Lessons learned-Marie
- Public Hearings-Marie

Commissioner Graves moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kisse. The motion carried unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 9:58am.